

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/053,843

Examiner

Freda A. Nelson

Applicant(s)

FELLENSTEIN ET AL.

Art Unit

3628

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to After-final amendment of 7/16/07 and Telephone interview of 8/27/07.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-18.
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
- (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date <u>7/27/07</u> . |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

Response to Amendment

The amendment received on July 18., 2007 is acknowledged and entered. No claims have been added. Claims 1-18 are currently pending.

The drawings filed on March 12, 2001 are accepted by the Examiner.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Andrew M. Calderon (Reg. No. 38,093) on August 27, 2007.

The application has been amended as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method for determining a per-point price for data processing services using a computing device, comprising the steps of:

for each unit of a plurality of units of data processing services, determining a level of environmental complexity, a level of change, and a type of environment;

for each unit of the plurality of units, assigning points ~~to the unit~~ responsive to its each of level of environmental complexity, level of change, and type of environment, as determined, and summing the assigned points to provide a count of points for the unit;

summing the counts of points for all the units of the plurality of units to provide a total number of points for the data processing services;

dividing a baseline price for the data processing services by the total number of points to provide a per-point price; and one of:

responding to a customer request by providing the per-point price for data processing services; and

specifying to a customer the per-point price for data processing services.

8. (Currently amended) A method for determining a per-point price for data processing services using a computing device, comprising the steps of:

for each unit of a plurality of units of data processing services, selecting a level of environmental complexity from a plurality of predetermined levels of environmental complexity, selecting a level of change from a plurality of predetermined levels of change, and selecting a type of environment from a plurality of predetermined types of environments;

for each unit of the plurality of units, assigning points ~~to the unit~~ responsive to its each of selected level of environmental complexity, level of change, and type of environment, and summing the assigned points to provide a count of points for the unit;

summing the counts of points for all the units of the plurality of units to provide a total number of points for the data processing services;

dividing a baseline price for the data processing services by the total number of points to provide a per-point price; and one of:

responding to a customer request by providing the per-point price for data processing services; and

specifying to a customer the per-point price for data processing services.

10. (Currently amended) A method for adjusting a baseline price of data processing services when a unit is added using a computing device, comprising the steps of:

determining, from a baseline price, a per-point price for data processing services;

determining a level of environmental complexity, a level of change, and a type of environment for a unit to be added to the data processing services;

determining a count of points for the unit to be added, using each of the level of environmental complexity, level of change, and type of environment;

determining an adjustment to the baseline price for adding the unit, using the per-point price and the count of points for the unit; and

adjusting the baseline price using the adjustment;

and one of: responding to a customer request by providing the per-point price for data processing services; and

specifying to a customer the per-point price for data processing services.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-18 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

1. As per independent claims 1, 8, and 10, the best prior art, Yamazaki (US PG Pub. 2002/0040334) and Miller (US Patent Number 6,338,043) does not disclose or fairly teach:

for each unit of the plurality of units, assigning points responsive to each of level of environmental complexity, level of change, and type of environment, as determined, and summing the assigned points to provide a count of points for the unit;

summing the counts of points for all the units of the plurality of units to provide a total number of points for the data processing services;

dividing a baseline price for the data processing services by the total number of points to provide a per-point price; and one of:

responding to a customer request by providing the per-point price for data processing services; and

specifying to a customer the per-point price for data processing services.

2. The best NPL prior art, "EDI Hitting Stride in data Entry", fails to disclose: a computer adapted to:

for each unit of the plurality of units, assigning points responsive to each of level of environmental complexity, level of change, and type of environment, as determined, and summing the assigned points to provide a count of points for the unit;

summing the counts of points for all the units of the plurality of units to provide a total number of points for the data processing services;

dividing a baseline price for the data processing services by the total number of points to provide a per-point price; and one of:

responding to a customer request by providing the per-point price for data processing services; and

specifying to a customer the per-point price for data processing services.

3. The best foreign art, Ishikawa et al. JP 2000339147 while disclosing a system for supporting estimation of software development costs and recording medium fails to disclose:

for each unit of the plurality of units, assigning points responsive to each of level of environmental complexity, level of change, and type of environment, as determined, and summing the assigned points to provide a count of points for the unit;

summing the counts of points for all the units of the plurality of units to provide a total number of points for the data processing services;

dividing a baseline price for the data processing services by the total number of points to provide a per-point price; and one of:

responding to a customer request by providing the per-point price for data processing services; and

specifying to a customer the per-point price for data processing services.

Art Unit: 3628

perform a regression analysis using said historical critical gate dimensions as independent variables and said historical costs as dependent variables, wherein said regression analysis produces relationship curves that only show relationships between said historical critical gate dimensions and said historical costs; and

calculate product costs of said new device based on said user inputs and said relationship curves

The remaining dependent claims are considered allowable, as they are dependent and based off of an allowable independent claim.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freda A. Nelson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7076. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Art Unit: 3628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FAN 08/31/2007

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Nedda Nelson".A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "John W. Hayes".
JOHN W. HAYES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER